

**PROJECTS COMMITTEE SUMMARY**  
**February 23, 2015**

**Neighborhood House, 841 S. 41st Street, San Diego, CA 92113**

**6:00PM to 7:45PM**

**1. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, and INTRODUCTIONS:** *The Projects Committee is a subcommittee of the Southeastern San Diego Planning Group and is subject to the Brown Act. Therefore, Board attendance is limited to six (6) Board Members in order to avoid a quorum. All other Board Members must refrain from discussion of the Projects. However, we encourage your attendance so that you may listen to the discussions and make notes of your questions/concerns/recommendations to bring to the Full Board.* Members Present: Vincent Noto, Erik Anderson, Reynaldo Pisaño, Paul Sweeney, Robert Leif and Tom Fuller.

**2. GENERAL COMMENTS:** None.

**3. INFO ITEMS:**

**DRAFT: 9<sup>th</sup> Update of the Land Development Code - Process and Use Changes, Draft Matrix is dated December 11, 2014 The Projects Committee last discussed Items 1-11 at the January 26, Meeting.**

**These are the comments from the November 24, 2014 Meeting:**

The Chair led a discussion on the Draft Issue Matrix – 9th Update to the LDC dated November 13, 2014.

**Summary of Discussion Results:**

Items 1-6: Recommend support.

Item 7: Recommend that the last time appeal should be 30 days. (Revise last sentence).

Items 8-11: Recommend support.

Item 12: On the first line insert: “by the City” between the words “record” and “with”.

Items 13 -55: WERE CONTINUED to the next Projects Committee Meeting, due to time constraints.

**These are the comments from the January Meeting:**

- A. DRAFT: 9<sup>th</sup> Update of the Land Development Code - Process and Use Changes. Please read the attached Summary from the Projects Committee Meeting in November. We will be discussing Items 13-55 of the Matrix.** CPC will be hearing this Item on Tuesday, January 27<sup>th</sup>. Please see attached remarks and bring your comments.

**Presentation:**

- Chair asked for comments.
- #24 Tasting Rooms beg attention to the ABC regulations regarding tasting rooms. There are several businesses that would be using this requirement.
- Question is that do we want to create types 1 or 23 liquor licenses in the industrial and commercial areas.

**Consensus of the Committee: we are putting too many uses in the industrial areas that do not belong there. This item is going to CPC tomorrow night. Robert will bring back a report as to update. Continued this item to the next Projects Committee Meeting.**

**FEBRUARY 23, 2015 comments (This meeting):**

**Presentation:**

- Items 1-12 - Recommend support.
- Item 25 - Recommend support with careful review on a case-by-case basis (see material attached).
- Items 26-28 – Recommend support.
- Item 29 – Recommend support. Not to allow use to abutting to residential zone including separation by an alley for Section 131.0623 B-2 & 1,000ft. separation from schools and churches.
- Item 30 – Recommend support with word addition “receive.”
- Items 31 & 32 – Support
- Item 33 – N/A
- Items 34 & 35 – Support
- Item 36 – Support with word change in the last sentence change “may” to “shall.”
- Items 37-55 - Recommend support.

**Committee Consensus: To recommend approval to the full Board that this Item be placed on the Consent Agenda at the next Planning Group Meeting.**

**ADJOURNMENT 7:45PM**

**Reynaldo Pisaño, Chair, Projects Committee**